

### 3. Pillars of Conscience

The *TIIT Technology Institute* has demonstrated that our modern civilisation follows the path delineated by the result of our personal views. The balance of an individual conscience of each of us is reflected in the average of entire community's collective state of conscience on a global scale, which in turn dictates the direction of our civilisation's development.

Due to the gravity of this issue, *TIIT Institute* has developed the [AR Theory](#), *AR=Abstract~Reality*, in which it analyses our perception of the world and the state of our conscience. The *AR Theory* shows that the state of our conscience is measurable and is described by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}$$

where:

- A** - assessment of our self-esteem
- R** - our assessment of reality
- C** - value of our conscience

**A** and **R** are the pillars of our worldview, from which our conscience stems, and can be estimated at any scale of values. The simplest one is the mathematical linear scale of ones and zeros, where the maximum equals 1, and the minimum is 0. The evaluation criteria are the following: compliance with every element of the Primary Eight Elements of [PRAVDA](#), 🗳️, has the value of 1, while the occurrence of conflict with any of 🗳️ means 0. A justified conflict with 🗳️ is one that agrees with a person's level of conscience, and arose only because of the gaps in the person's database.

No one possesses a complete knowledge of the world, therefore we can only talk about an individual level of information, however, since the logic is available for each brain equally, so the degree of its use by a person based on one's personal database, conditions the person's level of conscience, **C**. Therefore, the values of **A**, **R** and **C** are measurable and comparable with those of others.

For all possible combinations there exist only the following four solutions for  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}$ :

$0 \times 0 = 0$	$0 \times 1 = 0$	$1 \times 0 = 0$	$1 \times 1 = 1$
------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

As we can see, only by assigning the maximum value, 1, both to ourselves (**A**) and to the world (**R**), the state of our conscience (**C**) is a full-fledged one and equals 1 on the zero-one scale.

This also means that in any other case, that is, if for whatever reason we do not quite appreciate the world we live in, or underestimate ourselves, **C** has a value of 0. Reading **C** = 0 means that, practically, we feel lost in the world and expect, even demand, a support, eg. the directives of "an authority". This is why so many of us need religion.

*TIIT Institute* continues extensive research in this direction and recently arrived with an algorithm capable of obtaining more precise knowledge of the state of our conscience. The research using the algorithm, on which in the next chapter<sup>(4)</sup> in more detail, delivers very interesting statistics, confirming this method's high practical usefulness in many areas, especially in sociology, psychology and political science, reliably describing researched personalities, giving an accurate picture of the state of their conscience.

Let's also notice that, regardless of the type and scale used, the quality of the **A** and **R** pillars, and as a result, conscience **C** as well, is determined by their main component - familiarity with the [PRAVDA](#), to the definition of which we were introduced at the beginning.

And now let us assess two specific cases.

Here's a snapshot of conscience of an average representative of today's young generation:

*"I was born as a representative of the dominant species on the planet, the only rational one (♥), that formed here, as shown by science (♥), out of nothing, as a result of a long process of evolution (♥), measured in millions of years from the moment of creation of the world with its physics, which was the Big Bang (♥). Our common task is to continue establishing a civilised order (♥) by continuing to support the development of science and technology, and in this way to explore the world, so that we are able to further conquer it and civilise (♥), in order to accommodate in comfort our ever more numerous coming generations that on this planet may soon not be able to find a place for themselves."*

Rating of this state of conscience is as follows:

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \times 1 = 0$$

Reasoning:

There can be seen in both Pillars, marked with a red flag 🚩, a lack of familiarity with PRAVDA, though to a very different degree. Pillar **A** is zero, because PRAVDA does not state that a man originated as the only rational being (👉), allegedly an end result of the evolution (👉, 👉, 👉). Pillar **R** has full value because you can see that this young man loves the place where he was born and is curious of the world. He also thinks about the continuity of an entire civilisation, so he is in line with 👉 of PRAVDA. The drawback is his lack of knowledge that the Universe is not a product of some “Big Bang” (👉), as described by the official physics, and our planet is not some "damned spot" in need of “civilising”, but since the dawn of history was a perfectly organised refuge for all forms of life (👉, 👉), which we are only degrading with our so called "civilisation" devoid of PRAVDA. However, the young man’s lack of basic familiarity with the primary elements of PRAVDA is in this Pillar fully compensated by the *HEART* (👉) shown.

Because let us remember that the desire to learn will certainly lead to the necessary knowledge and experience in the future, which after all, the youth at school are naturally missing. The overall zero result of the equation tells us in this case that *HEART* alone is not enough in life, it must go hand in hand with the *BRAINS*, just as the *BRAINS* disconnected from *HEART* does not suffice.

Let us now consider the opposition to the above attitude, which will be a view of the older generation’s average representative:

*"I am of my age, but I still remember the teachings of my religion, which points out that humans were created by the Higher Being, Whom we call God, and Who exists independently of the matter, the Universe and its physics (🚩), and Who from His metaphysical dimension governs the whole world, in this, of course, the fate of a man, whom He created and who is under His special custody (🚩), except that He did not give him insight into His plans. In life, it is enough that a man observes his Creator’s commandments (🚩), and everything will be OK, and not in the least he has to worry about the fate, whether his own, or the one of an entire civilisation’s, either in this or in other dimensions, because these are not our human affairs (🚩)."*

Rating of this state of conscience is as follows:

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \times 0 = 0$$

Reasoning:

In both Pillars there can be seen a lack of familiarity with [PRAVDA](#). Pillar **A** must be zero, because the man's self-esteem is very low - this man can do nothing on his own, as he is reliant on his Creator in all life matters. This means lack of understanding of 🤖 and 🧠.

Pillar **R** is also zero, because this person does not appreciate this world, he merely is a guest in the Creator's domain. This man does not treat this place as his own, nor does he believe that it could be improved, therefore is not aware of his own potential (🤖). His whole world is a metaphysical Creator, whom he claims to love infinitely, because he is totally devoted to him. The man forgets that those who cannot love themselves with a great love, cannot give love to another person (🧠).

A standing such as this is not consistent with [PRAVDA](#), because [PRAVDA](#), the *TRUTH*, consists simultaneously and equally of the *HEART* and *BRAINS*.

Note that the same zero result for **C** obtained in the two examples mentioned here represent two explicitly opposite attitudes, clearly points out to one of one thing both of them have in common - a lack of independent rethinking the meaning of life. Both of these men use borrowed, and more specifically, views imposed on them, which they do not discuss because in their environment, as a rule, no one does, and those few daring to raise discussions are considered eccentrics and most disregard their opinions. It is this feature that may be described as trustworthiness or deficiency of individualism, is an effective blockade for any attempt to reprogram the state of one's individual conscience.

On the one hand it's good, because the stability of stereotypes in our behavior makes us a generally predictable community, in which it is possible to establish an effective organisation over even a huge population, which we are today. It protects us against anarchy, chaos and extreme situations, dangerous to the public amidst of technology, and in this context, stereotypes are necessary for our existence and useful. There is only one problem with them - as an important part of IT technology, they require, just as any technology, a

regular review and updating. Old, stale stereotypes, such as theories operating in science by "prescription" gradually start to bring more harm than good, and the operation of many of them is simply dangerous both individually and collectively.

Crown examples of such uncontested theories, containing unfortunately, in the light of the recent discoveries, already outdated information, include Darwin's theory of evolution of species and Newton's theory of universal gravitation.

From the above cited two cases of conscience there stems another conclusion, applicable to all of us, all of our civilisation - because these states of conscience are representative of the vast majority of the human population on this planet, exactly so is today the state of conscience of the entire human population on Earth. Our civilisation's **C** today is equal to zero. Possible exceptions only confirm the rule.

Pure logic rules out a possibility of introducing our civilisation in this state to a higher stage of organisation without revising Pillars **A** and **R** of our collective conscience. Based on the so defined pillars, conscience **C** cannot achieve the full value, and yet it is the key to our future.

That is where the lack of review of the information and contestation of stereotypes leads to – just as any negligence in any field of technology - it brings harm, proportionate to the gravity and seriousness of the information contained in them. And the problem will not go of its own, it will be with us, in our minds and in our hearts, and no matter how much we invest in what direction - whatever we would undertake as a civilisation, is already a failure, because the pillars of our conscience have already prejudged about it.

What should we do in this situation?

At least for me, as an architect, the answer is trivial - one needs to fix the pillars of the structure as soon as possible, before erosion of stereotypes corrodes and weakens them completely. They need to be replaced with the new ones, the good ones, or to be renovated, or upgraded with the *Elements* of 🏡, in a word, to do what is possible, and as soon as possible, because time is against us. Of course, we all understand that painting the surface with nice colours, just as adding an attractive ornament, will not change anything here.

How then should look specifically those pillars of the wholesome conscience? The answer can only be trivial.

Pillar **A** = 1 only when our self-esteem reaches the maximum, that is, when we have the strength to cope with any challenge. [PRAVDA](#) specifies that clearly - our knowledge of the world should include possibly the maximum number of *Elements* 🧠, of which we are capable of mastering at a given stage, and in it the entire technology, especially the one which we ourselves are, namely the biotechnology. Only such knowledge opens "every door" in the universe, and our personal status equates to what is commonly referred to as Godly, the Archangelic, Magic etc.

Pillar **R** = 1 only when we appreciate the world in which we came to live, and it is to the point that we desire to lengthen our existence in it without limits. In this way we also show that we know the 🧠.

Note, that nowhere in the description of Pillars **A** and **R**, there were words 'efficiency', 'work', 'money', 'social position', 'career', 'construction of civilisation', 'conquest of space', 'belief in God', 'devotion to one's Creator', 'fight against the forces of evil', 'fight for good' and the like stereotypes that exist in the popular conscience of contemporary societies and are a fundamental theme of their organisation, and thus for the whole of our civilisation. These concepts are not part of the 🧠 of [PRAVDA](#), *TRUTH*, the eternal *Heavenly Knowledge* that we have received from our Progenitors, and of which, as until today, we cannot make proper use and realise this is one of the objectives of this book.

In this chapter I convey my vision of the world, the *Pillars of Conscience*, on which I base my view of reality, and the history of our civilisation in particular. Analysis of the *Pillars of Conscience* proved particularly useful for me in the assessment of many authors' work, including those well-known and renowned ones, showing as the litmus test, the degree of their familiarity with 🧠.

It is a method that allows one to save a lot of time and effort in studying - when we see that an author's views differ significantly from 🧠 of [PRAVDA](#), chances are rather small that we will learn something of interest from this author's communication.

This kind of information filtering has a special meaning while working online via the *Internet*, when we view millions of text pages, then often a glance through the prism of the *Pillars of Conscience* is enough to decide - read it on or not.

Although it might sound cynical, in the cyber ocean of information such as the *Internet* is, there is no room for sentiment. This set of data is so huge that us mortals do not have enough life to refer at least in brief to all of the information contained therein, so inevitably we have to select and make difficult decisions, that is, exactly on what and how much time we can spend. Only in this way we will be able to have a grasp of the whole set with our mind. In fact, all information in circulation is infomiated to the extent that, as revealed by the review of the condition of information in the field of history accomplished recently by a group of renowned mathematicians, as much as 90% of this information is false! (cf. books<sup>[\(link\)](#)</sup> and video<sup>[\(link\)](#)</sup> in [POLONEUM Library](#))

So what is the use of our entire historical knowledge gained from such an infomiated material? It is worthless, and we are left with a problem, which will not go anywhere, oh no. Instead, there comes a billing time, because this infomiation poisons our lives and destroys our civilisation.

I discuss it further in the section of *Revision of History*.